REMARKS

Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11-13, 15-21 and 23 are pending. Claims 1, 7 and 16 are amended herein. The Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the Claims in light of the amendments and the discussion set forth below.

35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11-13, 15-21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kadanka et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,621,308) in view of Mietus (U.S. Patent No. 5,666,046). Applicants have reviewed the recited references and respectfully submit that the present invention, as is recited in Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11-13, 15-21 and 23, is neither anticipated nor rendered obvious by Kadanka and Mietus, either alone or in combination.

The Examiner's attention is respectfully directed to independent Claim 1 which recites that an embodiment of the Applicant's invention includes a band-gap reference circuit, comprising:

...a band-gap reference unit; buffer circuit electronically coupled with said band-gap reference unit; and a single component voltage pull-up device that is separate from said band-gap reference unit electronically coupled between said band-gap reference unit and said buffer circuit, wherein said single component voltage pull-up device acts to reduce a required supply voltage to maintain a band-gap reference voltage and wherein said single component voltage pull-up device is implemented as a transistor.

Claims 7 and 16 contain limitations similar to those contained in Claim 1. Claims 2-4, and 6 depend from claim 1 and recite further features of the claimed invention. Claims 8, 11-13 and 15 depend from claim 7 and recite further features of the claimed invention. Claims 15-21 and 23 depend from claim 7 and recite further features of the claimed invention.

Kadanka et al. does not anticipate or render obvious a band-gap reference circuit that includes "a single component voltage pull-up device that is separate from said band-gap reference unit electronically coupled between said band-gap reference unit and said buffer

NSC-P05052/JPH/RAR Examiner: Cunningham, Terry D. Serial No.: 09/970,297 6 Art Unit: 2816

circuit, wherein said single component voltage pull-up device acts to reduce a required supply voltage to maintain a band-gap reference voltage and wherein said single component voltage pull-up device is implemented as a transistor" as is recited in the Claims. In order to meet the limitations of Claim 1 (Claims 7 and 16 contain similar limitations) a reference must show or suggest either expressly or inherently, along with other limitations of the Claim 1: (1) a single component voltage pull-up device that is separate from a band-gap reference unit implemented as

a transistor, (2) a band-gap reference unit and (3) a buffer circuit.

Kadanka et al. only shows an electrical apparatus for providing a reference signal that includes a regulator portion that provides a substantially constant current. It is important to note that Kadanka et al. simply does not discuss a buffer circuit or a voltage pull-up device. In fact, Kadanka et al. discloses that element 57, cited in the Office Action as being equivalent to the recited buffer circuit is actually a part of bandgap reference circuit 72. This is contrasted with the express provisions of Applicant's Claims that require that the pull-up device not be a part of a

bandgap reference circuit.

Applicant respectfully submits that nowhere in the Kadanka et al. reference is a single component voltage pull-up device that is separate from a band-gap unit and that is electronically coupled between a band-gap reference unit and a buffer circuit as is set forth in Claim 1 and disclosed in the Applicant's specification, taught or suggested. Consequently, the embodiments of the present invention as are set forth in Claims 1, 7 and 16 are neither anticipated nor rendered

obvious by Kadanka et al.

Mietus does not teach or suggest a modification of Kadanka et al. that would remedy the deficiencies of Kadanka et al. outlined above. More specifically, Mietus does not teach or suggest a band-gap reference circuit that includes "a single component voltage pull-up device

NSC-P05052/JPH/RAR Serial No.: 09/970,297 Examiner: Cunningham, Terry D.
Art Unit: 2816

that is separate from a band-gap reference unit electronically coupled between and located intermediate to said band-gap reference unit and said buffer circuit, wherein said single component voltage pull-up device acts to reduce a required supply voltage to maintain a band-gap reference voltage and wherein said single component voltage pull-up device is implemented as a transistor with a VBE of less than 1.0 volts" as is recited in the Claims. Applicant respectfully submits that nowhere in the Mietus reference is a single component voltage pull-up device that is separate from a band-gap reference unit that is electronically coupled between a band-gap reference unit and a buffer circuit, that acts to reduce a required supply voltage to maintain a band-gap reference voltage, and is implemented as a transistor as is set forth in Claim 1 and disclosed in the Applicant's specification taught or suggested. Consequently, the embodiments of the Applicant's invention as are set forth in Claims 1, 7 and 16 are neither anticipated nor rendered obvious by Kadanka et al. in view of Mietus.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully submit that Kadanka et al. in view of Mietus does not anticipate or render obvious the present claimed invention as recited in Claims 1, 7, and 16 and, as such, Claims 1, 7, and 16 overcomes the basis for rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 1, 7, and 16 are in condition for allowance. In addition, Applicants respectfully submit that Kadanka et al. in view of Mietus does not anticipate or render obvious the present invention as is recited in Claims 2-4 and 6 which depend from independent Claim 1, Claims 8, 11-13 and 15 which depend from independent Claim 7, and Claims 15-21 and 23 which depend from independent Claim 16, and that Claims 2-4, 6, 8, 11-13, 15-21 and 23 are also in condition for allowance as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

NSC-P05052/JPH/RAR Examiner: Cunningham, Terry D. Serial No.: 09/970,297 8 Art Unit: 2816

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that the remaining claims are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests allowance of the pending Claims.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present Application.

Date: 8/11/06

Respectfully submitted,

Reginald A. Ratliff

Reg. No. 48,098

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP

Two North Market Street, 3rd Floor

San Jose, California 95113

(408) 938-9060